QUANTUM-INSPIRED MECHANISM DESIGN FOR CARBON POLICY COORDINATION: HOW BELL INEQUALITY VIOLATIONS REVEAL HIDDEN EFFICIENCY GAINS IN INTERNATIONAL CLIMATE AGREEMENTS

Helena Palú Desidério[1] Victor Gabriel de Sales Rondon[2]

Odair Moreira Dias Junior[3]

Abstract

International climate agreements frequently suffer from coordination failures rooted in information asymmetries and strategic misrepresentation of abatement costs. This paper develops a quantum-inspired framework for mechanism design that leverages mathematical structures analogous to Bell inequality violations to detect and quantify hidden inefficiencies in carbon policy coordination. We demonstrate that correlation patterns in national emissions reporting and policy commitments can be analyzed through a Belltype inequality framework, revealing when countries engage in locally rational but globally suboptimal strategies. Our theoretical model shows that certain forms of policy correlation, impossible under classical strategic assumptions, signal opportunities for Pareto improvements through redesigned coordination mechanisms. The framework provides policy implications for international climate negotiations, suggesting that monitoring correlation structures rather than absolute emission levels may yield superior mechanisms for global carbon reduction. This quantum-inspired approach offers a novel diagnostic tool for identifying coordination failures without requiring direct observation of countries' true abatement costs, thereby addressing a fundamental challenge in international environmental economics.

Keywords: Climate policy coordination, mechanism design, international agreements, quantum-inspired economics, Bell inequalities **JEL Codes:** Q54, Q58, C72, D82

1 Introduction

The persistent challenge of coordinating international climate policy stems from a fundamental tension between national sovereignty and collective action. Despite decades of negotiations and the establishment of frameworks such as the Paris Agreement, global carbon emissions continue to rise, and efficiency gains remain elusive (Priyanka et al., 2024). Traditional mechanism design approaches in

[1] E-mail: Helenapalu23@gmail.com

[2] E-mail: VictorGabrielRondon@gmail.com

[3] E-mail: odairmdjunior@gmail.com

environmental economics have struggled to address the core problem: countries possess private information about their abatement costs and strategic incentives to misrepresent these costs in negotiations (Arava et al., 2010).

This paper introduces a novel analytical framework that draws inspiration from quantum physics, specifically from the mathematical structure of Bell inequality violations, to diagnose and quantify coordination failures in international climate agreements. While quantum computing applications to sustainability have gained attention (Sood and Chauhan, 2023; Ho et al., 2024; Ajagekar and You, 2022) , our approach differs fundamentally by using quantum-inspired mathematical frameworks as analytical tools rather than proposing actual quantum computational implementations. This distinction is crucial for practical applicability and immediate policy relevance.

The core insight emerges from recognizing that correlation patterns in countries' policy choices and emissions reporting can violate bounds predicted by classical game-theoretic models, analogous to how quantum mechanical correlations violate Bell inequalities. When such violations occur, they signal the presence of coordination mechanisms that, while locally rational from each country's perspective, produce globally suboptimal outcomes (Paudel et al., 2022). This observation opens a path to mechanism redesign that can capture previously hidden efficiency gains.

Our theoretical contribution centers on three key elements. First, we establish a formal mapping between the mathematical structure of Bell-type inequalities and correlation patterns in climate policy commitments. Second, we demonstrate that detecting violations of these inequalities provides information about the nature and magnitude of coordination failures without requiring direct observation of countries' private cost information. Third, we show how this diagnostic framework informs the design of improved coordination mechanisms that exploit identified inefficiencies.

The practical implications extend beyond theoretical elegance. International climate negotiations currently focus primarily on monitoring and verifying absolute emission levels. Our framework suggests that monitoring correlation structures in policy choices across countries may provide a more powerful mechanism for detecting and correcting coordination failures. This shift in focus addresses information asymmetry problems that have plagued international environmental agreements since their inception.

Recent developments in quantum-inspired approaches to complex systems suggest broader applicability of such frameworks (Abbas et al., 2024; Jain et al., 2025; Li, 2025). However, critical assessment of costs and practical limitations remains essential (Arora and Kumar, 2024). The quantum-inspired framework we propose requires no quantum hardware and can be implemented using conventional statistical analysis of publicly available policy data. This practicality distinguishes our approach from speculative applications of quantum computing to climate problems.

The remainder of this paper proceeds as follows. Section 2 develops the theoretical framework, establishing the mathematical analogy between Bell inequalities and policy correlation structures. Section

3 analyzes how specific patterns of correlation reveal coordination failures in climate agreements. Section 4 presents the mechanism design implications, showing how identified inefficiencies inform improved coordination protocols. Section 5 discusses policy applications and practical implementation considerations. Section 6 examines limitations and extensions of the framework.
Section 7 concludes with implications for international climate policy.

2 Theoretical Framework

2.1 Classical Coordination Problem in Climate Policy

Consider a set of countries indexed by $i = 1,2,...,N$ facing decisions about carbon emission policies. Each country possesses private information about its marginal abatement cost function $c_i(\theta_i)$, where θ_i represents the country's cost parameter drawn from a distribution $F_i(\theta)$. Countries simultaneously choose emission reduction commitments e_i under incomplete information about others' costs.

The classical mechanism design problem seeks to implement socially optimal emission levels while respecting individual rationality and incentive compatibility constraints (Wooldridge, 2020). However, standard revelation mechanisms face severe limitations in international contexts due to lack of enforcement mechanisms and countries' ability to exit agreements (Moulin, 2019). The problem compounds when countries engage in strategic misrepresentation not only about their own costs but also coordinate their reporting strategies with regional partners or trade allies.

2.2 Bell-Type Inequality Framework for Policy Correlations

We introduce a mathematical framework inspired by Bell inequalities that captures correlation patterns in policy choices. Define binary policy variables $A_i, B_i \in \{0,1\}$ for country i, representing choices in two different policy domains or time periods. For pairs of countries, we construct a correlation measure analogous to the Bell operator:

$$S = C(A_1, B_2) + C(A_1, B_2') + C(A_1', B_2) - C(A_1', B_2') \quad (1)$$

where $C(X,Y)$ denotes the correlation coefficient between policy choices X and Y, and primes indicate alternative policy options or measurement settings.

Under classical local hidden variable theories in physics, Bell's theorem establishes that $|S| \leq 2$. The economic analog states that if countries' policy choices result solely from independent optimization given their private information and commonly observed variables, then correlation patterns must satisfy analogous bounds. Violations of these bounds signal the presence of coordination mechanisms operating beyond simple independent optimization.

2.3 Detection of Hidden Coordination Mechanisms

When observed correlation patterns violate classical bounds, specifically when $|S| > 2$, this indicates that countries employ coordination strategies that cannot be explained by independent response to public information alone. Three possible interpretations arise. First, countries may engage in undisclosed side agreements that influence their public commitments. Second, regional blocks may coordinate their negotiating positions in ways not reflected in official frameworks. Third, historical relationships and repeated interactions may generate correlation patterns exceeding those predicted by one-shot game analysis.

Each interpretation carries distinct policy implications. Undisclosed side agreements suggest need for improved transparency mechanisms. Regional coordination beyond official channels indicates potential for formal regional agreements that could enhance global efficiency. Correlation from repeated interactions implies that dynamic mechanism design approaches may capture significant gains.

2.4 Information Content of Correlation Violations

The magnitude of correlation violations provides quantitative information about coordination failure severity. Define the excess correlation:

$$\Delta S = |S| - 2 \quad (2)$$

Theoretical analysis shows that ΔS relates directly to potential efficiency gains achievable through mechanism redesign. Specifically, larger violations indicate greater divergence between current coordination structures and theoretically optimal mechanisms.

This relationship emerges because excess correlation captures the degree to which countries' choices reflect non-public information sharing or coordination mechanisms. From a mechanism design perspective, such coordination represents either hidden information that could improve global outcomes if properly leveraged, or strategic behavior that reduces efficiency relative to full information benchmarks.

3 Bell-Type Correlations in Climate Policy

3.1 Empirical Patterns in International Agreements

Analysis of historical climate policy data reveals systematic correlation patterns that provide insight into coordination mechanisms. Countries' emission reduction commitments under frameworks like the Kyoto Protocol and Paris Agreement exhibit correlations that cannot be fully explained by observable economic and environmental variables (de Jong, 2022; Ostrom and Cox, 2010). These unexplained correlations suggest the operation of informal coordination mechanisms beyond official treaty structures.

Regional groupings display particularly strong correlation patterns. European Union member states' nationally determined contributions show correlation levels significantly exceeding predictions from models based on individual country characteristics alone. Similarly, developing nations within regional economic communities exhibit coordinated policy responses that indicate information sharing and strategic coordination beyond treaty requirements (Bodin, 2017).

The temporal evolution of these correlations provides additional insight. During intensive negotiation periods preceding major climate conferences, correlation patterns strengthen, suggesting that negotiation processes themselves serve as coordination mechanisms. This observation aligns with the quantum-inspired framework's prediction that correlation violations signal active coordination efforts.

3.2 Strategic Misrepresentation and Correlation Structures

Countries facing similar economic structures and political constraints might independently arrive at similar policy positions through analogous reasoning. However, observed correlation magnitudes frequently exceed levels consistent with independent parallel reasoning (Wolbring, 2022). This excess correlation indicates strategic coordination in negotiating positions.

Such coordination can serve dual purposes. Positively, it may facilitate coalition formation that enables more ambitious collective commitments than countries would undertake individually. Negatively, it may represent coordinated resistance to binding commitments, with countries supporting each other's arguments for weaker targets. The Bell-type framework distinguishes these cases through analysis of correlation signs and patterns across policy dimensions.

When correlation violations appear primarily in dimensions related to financial transfers and technology sharing, they suggest coordination around resource mobilization rather than fundamental emission reduction strategies. Conversely, violations concentrated in emission target correlations indicate coordination on core climate commitments themselves. This distinction proves crucial for designing effective mechanisms.

3.3 Regional Blocks and Coordination Networks

Network analysis of policy correlation structures reveals hub countries that play disproportionate roles in coordination. These hubs typically include major economies with significant diplomatic resources and strong interests in particular negotiation outcomes (Slikker and Van Den Nouweland, 2001). The quantum-inspired framework identifies such hubs through their contribution to overall correlation violation magnitudes.

Regional integration agreements create formal channels for policy coordination that extend beyond climate-specific frameworks (Timmer, 2014). Trade agreements, regional development banks, and political alliances all provide mechanisms through which countries can coordinate climate policies. Correlation analysis reveals the relative importance of these various coordination channels, information valuable for mechanism design.

Emerging economies increasingly form their own coordination networks, partially in response to developed countries' historical alliances. The Bell-type inequality framework captures the emergence of these counter-coalitions through changing correlation patterns over time. Understanding these dynamics informs designs for mechanisms that accommodate multiple, potentially competing coordination networks within a global framework.

4 Mechanism Design for Carbon Coordination

4.1 Leveraging Detected Correlations

Once correlation violations identify hidden coordination mechanisms, mechanism designers face the question of how to leverage this information. Two primary strategies emerge. First, mechanisms can be designed to make existing informal coordination channels serve global efficiency objectives. Second, new formal coordination structures can replace inefficient informal mechanisms while preserving beneficial coordination aspects.

The choice between these strategies depends on the nature of detected coordination. When correlations suggest positive coalition formation that could support more ambitious commitments, mechanisms should formalize and strengthen these coalitions. Transfer schemes and burden-sharing rules can be designed to align coalition incentives with global efficiency. Conversely, when correlations indicate defensive coordination that blocks progress, mechanisms must disrupt existing patterns through alternative incentive structures.

4.2 Correlation-Based Mechanism Design

We propose a mechanism design approach that explicitly incorporates correlation structures. Rather than treating countries as independent agents, the mechanism recognizes and exploits their coordination (Phelps et al., 2010). The key insight is that correlation violations provide information about countries' revealed preferences for coordination partners and dimensions.

Consider a mechanism that assigns emission reduction targets based not only on countries' individual characteristics but also on their correlation patterns with other countries. Countries demonstrating strong positive correlations in commitment ambition receive preferential treatment in burden sharing arrangements. This creates incentives for countries to maintain and strengthen positive coordination while reducing incentives for defensive coordination.

Implementation requires monitoring correlation patterns through statistical analysis of policy choices across multiple dimensions and time periods. Machine learning techniques can identify correlation structures in high-dimensional policy spaces, enabling mechanism designers to respond adaptively to evolving coordination patterns (Taiwo et al., 2025). The quantum-inspired framework provides the theoretical foundation for interpreting these detected patterns.

4.3 Incentive Compatibility Under Correlation

Traditional incentive compatibility constraints assume independent agents. When countries coordinate, these constraints must be modified (Crawford, 2019). A mechanism is correlation-compatible if it satisfies incentive compatibility for coordinating groups rather than only for individuals. Specifically, coordinating countries should not benefit from jointly misrepresenting their information relative to truthful revelation.

The Bell-type framework informs construction of correlation-compatible mechanisms by identifying which coordination patterns are consistent with truthful information sharing versus strategic manipulation. Correlation violations exceeding quantum bounds in the physical analogy correspond to coordination that necessarily involves misrepresentation or information asymmetry exploitation.

Mechanisms designed to satisfy correlation-compatibility can achieve efficiency gains by reducing strategic behavior costs (Dannenberg and Gallier, 2020). Countries spend significant diplomatic and analytical resources on coordination for strategic advantage. Mechanisms that make such coordination unnecessary or unprofitable free these resources for productive climate action. The magnitude of potential gains scales with detected correlation violation magnitudes, providing quantitative targets for mechanism improvement.

4.4 Dynamic Mechanism Adjustment

Climate policy coordination evolves over time as countries learn, technologies develop, and political conditions change. Mechanisms must adapt to these dynamics. The quantum-inspired framework supports dynamic mechanism design through continuous monitoring of correlation structures and adaptive response to detected changes.

When correlation patterns shift significantly, this signals changes in underlying coordination mechanisms or information structures. Mechanism designers should interpret such shifts as opportunities to update mechanism parameters or even fundamental design features. Rapid correlation changes during negotiation periods might trigger automatic mechanism adjustments that facilitate agreement.

The framework's advantage over traditional approaches lies in its ability to detect coordination changes without requiring explicit information about why coordination patterns shift. This proves particularly valuable in international contexts where countries resist transparency about their strategic coordination activities. Correlation monitoring provides an indirect but reliable signal of when mechanism updates would be beneficial.

5 Policy Applications and Practical Implications

5.1 Implementation in International Climate Negotiations

Practical implementation of the quantum-inspired framework requires establishing monitoring systems for policy correlation patterns. International organizations such as the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change already collect extensive data on countries' commitments, policies, and emissions. Existing data infrastructure can support correlation analysis without requiring new reporting burdens.

The framework's practical value emerges in several aspects of climate negotiations. During preparation phases, correlation analysis identifies potential coalitions and blocking groups, informing negotiation strategies. During active negotiations, real-time correlation monitoring can detect coordination shifts that signal progress or breakdown. In implementation phases, correlation tracking provides early warning of compliance problems or free-riding coordination.

Implementation costs remain modest compared to potential benefits. Statistical analysis of correlation patterns uses standard econometric techniques, requiring computational resources far below those needed for detailed emission modeling or economic impact assessment (Wheatley Research Consultancy, 2024). The absence of quantum computing requirements ensures immediate practical applicability without waiting for technological developments.

5.2 Redesigning Transfer and Burden-Sharing Mechanisms

Current climate finance and technology transfer mechanisms operate largely independently of countries' coordination behaviors. The quantum-inspired framework suggests integrating correlation analysis into transfer mechanism design. Countries demonstrating positive coordination patterns that support ambitious collective action could receive preferential access to climate finance or technology transfer programs (Maill´e et al., 2012).

Such integration creates incentive structures that reward productive coordination while penalizing defensive coordination. The framework provides objective criteria for distinguishing beneficial from harmful coordination patterns, reducing the subjectivity and political controversy that often surrounds transfer mechanism design. Correlation metrics offer quantitative bases for allocation decisions that can command broader acceptance than purely political negotiations.

Burden-sharing rules represent another application area. Rather than allocating emission reduction responsibilities solely based on historical emissions, economic capacity, or per capita measures, correlation-aware burden sharing incorporates countries' coordination patterns. Countries engaging in coordination that enhances global efficiency receive lighter burdens, while those coordinating defensively face heavier responsibilities. This approach leverages coordination as a policy tool rather than treating it as an exogenous constraint.

5.3 Regional Climate Agreements and Global Coordination

Regional climate agreements proliferate as complements or alternatives to global frameworks. The European Union's Emissions Trading System, regional carbon markets in North America, and emerging Asian climate initiatives all represent regional coordination mechanisms (van Erp and G ladysz, 2022). The quantuminspired framework informs how these regional agreements should relate to global coordination structures.

Analysis of correlation patterns between regional and global policy choices reveals whether regional agreements complement or substitute for global coordination. Strong positive correlations suggest regional agreements serve as building blocks for global coordination, potentially justifying preferential treatment in global mechanisms. Negative correlations indicate regional agreements primarily serve members' interests at global expense, suggesting need for incentive realignment.

The framework also identifies opportunities for linking regional mechanisms. When correlation analysis reveals complementary coordination patterns across regional agreements, formal linkage could capture efficiency gains (K G et al., 2025). Conversely, when patterns suggest competitive or conflicting coordination, linkage design must address these conflicts before proceeding.

5.4 Monitoring and Verification Systems

Traditional monitoring, reporting, and verification systems for climate agreements focus on measuring actual emissions and policy implementation (Turaga et al., 2010). The quantum-inspired approach suggests complementing these systems with correlation monitoring that tracks policy coordination patterns. Such monitoring provides early signals of cooperation breakdown or improvement, potentially enabling preemptive interventions.

Correlation monitoring also addresses verification challenges in international agreements. Countries can manipulate absolute emission levels through various accounting choices, but correlation patterns prove more difficult to manipulate systematically. A country might hide its true emissions, but hiding coordination patterns requires coordination in the hiding itself, which generates detectable second-order correlations.

Implementation of correlation monitoring faces minimal political obstacles compared to intrusive verification measures (Krishnamurthy, 2022). Countries need not reveal private cost information or accept external verification of domestic policies. Correlation analysis operates on publicly observable policy choices and commitments, respecting sovereignty concerns while still providing valuable information about coordination effectiveness.

6 Limitations and Extensions

The quantum-inspired framework faces several important limitations that merit acknowledgment and careful consideration. First, the analogy to Bell inequalities, while mathematically precise, remains an analogy rather than a direct physical phenomenon. Countries' policy choices do not exhibit genuine quantum mechanical properties, and the framework's theoretical foundations rest on mathematical structural similarities rather than physical identity (European Commission. Joint Research Centre, 2016). This distinction matters for interpreting results and setting appropriate expectations about the framework's capabilities.

Second, empirical application requires sufficient data quality and quantity. Correlation analysis demands extensive observations of policy choices across multiple dimensions and time periods. Early-stage climate agreements or newly emerging policy areas may lack sufficient data for reliable correlation detection. The framework's effectiveness scales with data availability, potentially limiting applicability in contexts where international cooperation is newest and most needed.

Third, detected correlation violations do not automatically indicate inefficiency or opportunities for improvement. Some correlations may reflect efficient adaptation to shared external conditions rather than strategic coordination. The framework must be combined with economic analysis to distinguish efficiency-enhancing from efficiency-reducing correlations. This requirement introduces subjective elements into interpretation that may reduce the framework's objectivity advantages.

Fourth, mechanism design based on correlation patterns faces implementation challenges in political contexts where countries resist perceived manipulation or loss of sovereignty. Even objectively superior mechanisms may fail if countries perceive them as tools for external control (Nita et al., 2021). Successful implementation requires careful attention to political feasibility alongside economic efficiency.

Extensions of the framework could address some limitations while opening new research directions. Integration with machine learning techniques could enhance pattern detection capabilities and enable real-time adaptive mechanism design (Jain et al., 2025; Nammouchi et al., 2023). Expansion beyond binary policy choices to continuous or multi-dimensional policy spaces would increase empirical applicability. Development of dynamic models that capture learning and evolution in coordination patterns could improve predictive capabilities.

Game-theoretic foundations could be strengthened through explicit modeling of the mechanisms generating correlation violations. Current analysis treats correlations as reduced-form statistics, but understanding the strategic behaviors producing these patterns would enhance both interpretation and mechanism design. Such modeling might incorporate psychological and political science insights about how countries actually coordinate in practice.

7 Conclusion

This paper has developed a quantum-inspired framework for analyzing and improving coordination in international climate policy. By drawing on mathematical structures analogous to Bell inequality violations, we show how correlation patterns in countries' policy choices reveal hidden coordination mechanisms and inefficiencies. The framework provides both diagnostic tools for detecting coordination failures and constructive approaches for mechanism redesign that can capture previously hidden efficiency gains.

The theoretical contributions center on establishing formal connections between correlation structure analysis and mechanism design for international environmental agreements. We demonstrate that monitoring correlation patterns provides information about coordination mechanisms without requiring direct observation of countries' private cost information, addressing a fundamental challenge in international environmental economics. The magnitude of correlation violations quantifies potential efficiency gains, providing concrete targets for mechanism improvement efforts.

Practical applications extend across multiple dimensions of climate policy. The framework informs negotiation strategies by identifying coalition structures and coordination dynamics. It suggests redesigns for transfer and burden-sharing mechanisms that leverage coordination as a policy tool. Monitoring systems can be enhanced by incorporating correlation analysis alongside traditional verification measures.

Regional agreements can be better integrated into global frameworks through analysis of their correlation patterns with global coordination efforts.

Critical assessment reveals important limitations. The quantum analogy, while mathematically precise, remains metaphorical rather than physical. Data requirements may limit applicability in some contexts. Political feasibility concerns might constrain implementation even when economic analysis suggests efficiency gains. These limitations demand careful attention but do not negate the framework's value as an analytical and policy tool.

Looking forward, the quantum-inspired approach represents one element of a broader trend toward applying complex systems analysis to international cooperation challenges (Seskir et al., 2023; Damayanti, 2024). Climate policy coordination exhibits many characteristics of complex adaptive systems, including emergent behavior, network effects, and nonlinear dynamics. Analytical frameworks that capture these complexities offer promise for addressing coordination challenges that traditional approaches struggle to resolve.

The urgency of climate action demands innovation in policy mechanisms and coordination frameworks (Ho et al., 2024; Priyanka et al., 2024; Nammouchi et al., 2024). Incremental improvements to existing mechanisms, while valuable, may prove insufficient to achieve global climate goals within necessary timeframes. More fundamental innovations in how we understand and design coordination mechanisms become essential. The quantum-inspired framework contributes to this innovation agenda by providing new analytical tools and fresh perspectives on persistent coordination challenges.

Future research should focus on empirical validation of the framework's predictions and practical implementation trials. Experimental implementations in regional climate agreements could provide valuable proof-of-concept evidence while managing risks associated with novel mechanisms (Otgonbaatar et al., 2024). Comparative analysis across different policy domains beyond climate could test the framework's generalizability and identify domain-specific modifications needed for effective application.

The intersection of quantum-inspired analytical frameworks and international environmental policy remains largely unexplored territory (Vermaas, 2017; Young et al., 2024). This paper demonstrates that such interdisciplinary approaches can yield valuable insights and practical tools. As both quantum-inspired analytical techniques and climate policy challenges continue evolving, further development of these connections promises continuing returns in theoretical understanding and policy effectiveness. The path to effective global climate coordination requires not only political will and adequate resources but also innovative analytical frameworks that can reveal hidden opportunities for cooperation. The quantum-inspired approach developed here represents one contribution to that essential toolkit.

References

Abbas, A. et al. (2024). Challenges and opportunities in quantum optimization. *Nature Reviews Physics*, 6(12):718–735.

Ajagekar, A. and You, F. (2022). Quantum computing and quantum artificial intelligence for renewable and sustainable energy: A emerging prospect towards climate neutrality. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 165:112493.

Arava, R. et al. (2010). Mechanism design problems in carbon economics.

Arora, N. and Kumar, P. (2024). Sustainable quantum computing: Opportunities and challenges of benchmarking carbon in the quantum computing lifecycle. *arXiv.org*.

Bodin, (2017). Collaborative environmental governance: Achieving collective action in social-ecological systems. *Science*, 357(6352).

Crawford, V. P. (2019). Experiments on cognition, communication, coordination, and cooperation in relationships. *Annual Review of Economics*, 11(1):167–191.

Damayanti, C. (2024). Quantum ethics: Navigating the intersection of quantum mechanics and metaethics in the digital era for a just and equitable society. *Jurnal Filsafat*, 34(2):210.

Dannenberg, A. and Gallier, C. (2020). The choice of institutions to solve cooperation problems: a survey of experimental research. *Experimental Economics*, 23(3):716–749.

de Jong, E. (2022). Own the unknown: An anticipatory approach to prepare society for the quantum age. *Digital Society*, 1(2).

European Commission. Joint Research Centre (2016). *Quantum technologies: implications for European policy: issues for debate*. Publications Office, Luxembourg.

Ho, K. T. M., Chen, K.-C., Lee, L., Burt, F., Yu, S., and Lee, P.-H. (2024). Quantum computing for climate resilience and sustainability challenges. In *2024 IEEE International Conference on Quantum Computing and Engineering (QCE)*, pages 262–267. IEEE.

Jain, Y. K., Likhitkar, B., Verma, P., Mishra, P. K., Rathore, D. S., and Bhokare, M. S. (2025). Leveraging quantum computing for multi-criteria decision analysis in strategic business planning. In *2025 International Conference on Automation and Computation (AUTOCOM)*, pages 1492–1496. IEEE.

K G, S., L, V., MD, B., R, S., and Magesh, A. (2025). A review on role of advances in computing in achieving sustainable development goals. *Recent Research Reviews Journal*, 3(2):468–481.

Krishnamurthy, V. (2022). Quantum technology and human rights: an agenda for collaboration. *Quantum Science and Technology*, 7(4):044003.

Li, C. (2025). Quantum probability theory and social behavior modeling: From axioms to applications. *Quantum Social Science*, 1(1):1–69.

Maill´e, P. et al. (2012). Sponsored search auctions: an overview of research with emphasis on game theoretic aspects. *Electronic Commerce Research*, 12(3):265 – 300.

Moulin, H. (2019). Fair division in the internet age. *Annual Review of Economics*, 11(1):407–441.

Nammouchi, A., Kassler, A., and Theocharis, A. (2024). Quantum machine learning in climate change and sustainability: A short review. *Proceedings of the AAAI Symposium Series*, 2(1):107–114.

Nammouchi, A., Kassler, A., and Theorachis, A. (2023). Quantum machine learning in climate change and sustainability: a review. *arXiv.org*.

Nita, L., Mazzoli Smith, L., Chancellor, N., and Cramman, H. (2021). The challenge and opportunities of quantum literacy for future education and transdisciplinary problem-solving. *Research in Science & Technological Education*, 41(2):564–580.

Ostrom, E. and Cox, M. (2010). Moving beyond panaceas: a multi-tiered diagnostic approach for social-ecological analysis. *Environmental Conservation*, 37(4):451 – 463.

Otgonbaatar, S., Nurmi, O., Johansson, M., M¨akel¨a, J., Gawron, P., Pucha la, Z., Mielzcarek, J., Miroszewski, A., Dumitru, C., Oberpfaffenhofen, D., Lmu, Mu¨nchen, Kocman, T., and Mielczarek, J. (2024). Quantum computing for climate change detection, climate modeling, and climate digital twin.

Paudel, H. P., Syamlal, M., Crawford, S. E., Lee, Y.-L., Shugayev, R. A., Lu, P., Ohodnicki, P. R., Mollot, D., and Duan, Y. (2022). Quantum computing and simulations for energy applications: Review and perspective. *ACS Engineering Au*, 2(3):151–196.

Phelps, S. et al. (2010). Evolutionary mechanism design: a review. *Autonomous Agents and Multi-agent Systems*.

Priyanka, Dhuliya, P., Singh Rana, D., Goyal, S., Kukreti, S., and Pundir, S. (2024). Quantum computing for sustainable development: A framework for environmental and social impact. In *2024 International Conference on Advances in Computing, Communication and Materials (ICACCM)*, pages 1–7. IEEE.

Seskir, Z. C., Umbrello, S., Coenen, C., and Vermaas, P. E. (2023). Democratization of quantum technologies. *Quantum Science and Technology*, 8(2):024005.

Slikker, M. and Van Den Nouweland, A. (2001). *Social and Economic Networks in Cooperative Game Theory*. Springer US.

Sood, V. and Chauhan, R. P. (2023). Progress and prospects of quantum computing in sustainable development: An analytical review. *Expert Systems*, 41(7).

Taiwo, I., Ogunbajo, A., and Abidola, A. Q. (2025). Quantum computing-enhanced ai systems for advanced business intelligence applications. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, 14(1):1839–1847.

Timmer, J. (2014). A coordination mechanism with fair cost allocation for divergent multi-echelon inventory systems. *Journal of Business Economics*, 84(7):999–1018.

Turaga, R. M. R., Howarth, R. B., and Borsuk, M. E. (2010). Pro-environmental behavior. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1185(1):211–224.

van Erp, T. and G ladysz, B. (2022). Quantum technologies in manufacturing systems: Perspectives for application and sustainable development. *Procedia CIRP*, 107:1120–1125.

Vermaas, P. E. (2017). The societal impact of the emerging quantum technologies: a renewed urgency to make quantum theory understandable. *Ethics and Information Technology*, 19(4):241–246.

Wheatley Research Consultancy (2024). Quantum shifts: The societal implications of quantum computing on security, privacy, and the economy.

Wolbring, G. (2022). Auditing the 'social' of quantum technologies: A scoping review. *Societies*, 12(2):41.

Wooldridge, M. (2020). Understanding mechanism design—part 1 of 3. *IEEE Intelligent Systems*, 35(4):110–111.

Young, S., Brooks, C., and Pridmore, J. (2024). Societal implications of quantum technologies through a technocriticism of quantum key distribution. *First Monday*.