Stochastic Production Functions Under Climate Variability: Economic Indicators for Forecast-Based Agricultural Decision-Making

Marcos K. Colombo[*]

Abstract

Climate variability represents a fundamental source of agricultural risk, particularly affecting smallholder farmers in developing regions. This paper develops a theoretical framework for integrating seasonal climate forecasts into agricultural production decisions through stochastic production function models. We construct mathematical indicators that quantify the economic value of climate information services by modeling production uncertainty as a function of forecast accuracy and farmer adaptive capacity. The framework introduces the Climate Information Value Index and the Adaptive Efficiency Coefficient, which provide operational measures for policy evaluation. Our theoretical analysis demonstrates that forecast integration yields heterogeneous welfare effects across farm typologies, with resource-constrained producers facing systematic barriers to information adoption. The model reveals that without complementary interventions addressing financial constraints and technical capacity, climate information services may inadvertently amplify existing inequalities in agricultural systems. These findings have significant implications for the design of climate adaptation policies in agriculture.

Keywords: Climate forecasts, stochastic production function, agricultural economics, value of information, adaptation strategies

JEL Classification: Q12, Q54, D81, C61

---

[*] Email: marcoscolombo@live.com. Acknowledgments to FAPEMAT, SEBRAE, SEBRAE Startups, and the INOVA CERRADO program (Módulo Tração).

1 Introduction

Agricultural systems worldwide face mounting challenges from climate variability and change, with implications extending far beyond farm-level productivity to encompass food security, rural livelihoods, and broader economic development trajectories. The intensification of climate-related uncertainties has spurred considerable interest in climate information services as potential adaptation mechanisms. Just as disruptive technologies such as quantum computing promise revolutionary advances in complex problem-solving through enhanced computational capabilities (Taiwo et al., 2025; Jain et al., 2025), climate forecasting technologies offer transformative potential for agricultural risk management and sustainable development (Ho et al., 2024).

The economic literature has established that information possesses intrinsic value in contexts characterized by uncertainty and irreversible decisions. In agricultural production, where planting decisions must be made months in advance of harvest outcomes, seasonal climate forecasts theoretically enable producers to align their input allocations and crop selections with anticipated environmental conditions. Empirical evidence demonstrates substantial demand for such services, with farmers recognizing their potential to enhance decision-making and sustain production (Dhanya et al., 2022).

Despite demonstrated potential value, actual integration of climate forecasts into agricultural decision-making remains limited and highly heterogeneous across farming populations. Systematic reviews reveal that while forecast accuracy has improved substantially, adoption rates and realized benefits vary considerably across regions, farm typologies, and socioeconomic contexts (Madhuri, 2025). Recent comprehensive assessments indicate that extension services can increase adoption probability by a factor of 2.8, while resource constraints and gender disparities create significant barriers (Mnukwa et al., 2025).

This adoption gap reflects complex interactions between forecast characteristics, farmer capacities, and institutional environments. Understanding these dynamics requires rigorous theoretical frameworks that can illuminate the mechanisms through which climate information generates economic value and identify the conditions under which such value can be realized. Agent-based modeling studies suggest that community-level social dynamics, forecast accuracy, and risk preferences all play crucial roles in adoption patterns (Alexander and Block, 2022).

This paper contributes to the agricultural economics literature by developing a stochastic production function framework that explicitly models the role of climate forecasts in production decisions under uncertainty. We construct mathematical indicators that quantify both the potential value of climate information and the factors constraining its realization. The analysis focuses specifically on how forecast integration affects expected production outcomes, risk exposure, and welfare across heterogeneous farm populations.

Our approach differs from existing literature in several key dimensions. First, we model climate variability as an explicit stochastic component of the production function, allowing formal analysis of how forecast information alters the relationship between inputs and outputs. Second, we develop operational indicators that policy analysts can employ to evaluate climate information services without requiring extensive simulation modeling. Third, we explicitly incorporate resource constraints and adaptive capacity limitations into the theoretical framework, enabling analysis of distributional consequences that have been empirically documented in recent systematic reviews.

The remainder of this paper proceeds as follows. Section 2 reviews relevant theoretical and empirical literature on climate forecasts in agriculture. Section 3 presents the basic stochastic production function framework and introduces key assumptions. Section 4 develops the core mathematical indicators for measuring information value and adaptive efficiency. Section 5 analyzes the economic implications of the model, with particular attention to welfare effects and inequality dynamics. Section 6 discusses policy implications and limitations, while Section 7 concludes.

2 Literature Review

The integration of climate information into agricultural decision-making sits at the intersection of several established research streams in agricultural economics, including production economics under uncertainty, the economics of information, and climate change adaptation. This section synthesizes key insights from these literatures that motivate and inform our theoretical development.

Understanding how climate information services affect farmers' adaptation strategies requires examining both the supply and demand sides of these services. Recent systematic reviews provide comprehensive evidence on the impact and challenges of climate information on farming. Madhuri (2025) analyze 112 papers and find a significant surge in climate information research since 2010, driven primarily by increased climate funding commitments. Their review reveals that while the value of climate services is evident in increasing yields and aiding decision-making, persistent challenges remain in forecast interpretation and adoption of anticipatory adaptation techniques.

The adaptation decisions of smallholder farmers under climate variability have been extensively documented across different regional contexts. In India, field evidence demonstrates that variability in temperature and rainfall adversely affects farmer livelihoods, with low levels of livelihood status, limited non-farm employment opportunities, and insufficient irrigation infrastructure serving as primary barriers to adaptation (Singh, 2020). Insurance and credit emerge as key positive determinants motivating farmers to adjust agricultural practices, while early-maturing seed varieties and water-efficient crop varieties represent the most profitable adaptation strategies.

The application of agrometeorological advisory services demonstrates tangible benefits when forecasts achieve adequate accuracy. Research on maize farmers in West Tamil Nadu shows that such services significantly supported farmers in sustaining production, particularly during dry spells and heavy rainfall events (Dhanya et al., 2022). Verification studies indicate that rainfall forecasts can achieve reasonable accuracy with HI scores of 0.77 and HK scores of 0.60, while relative humidity forecasts show correlations with R-squared values of 0.52. These findings underscore that enhancing model forecast accuracy directly improves the reliability and utility of climate services as adaptation options.

Systematic reviews of climate-smart agricultural practices reveal substantial heterogeneity in adoption patterns across Sub-Saharan Africa. Mnukwa et al. (2025) analyze 50 peer-reviewed studies from 2003-2023, finding regional variations in adoption rates ranging from 56.7 percent in Eastern Africa to 38.9 percent in Western Africa. Extension services increase adoption probability by a factor of 2.8, while secure land tenure improves long-term investment by 60 percent. Gender disparities prove substantial, with female farmers exhibiting adoption rates of 40-55 percent compared to 55-70 percent for male farmers. Economic constraints significantly impact adoption, with high initial costs reducing uptake by 65 percent among resource-poor farmers, while credit access improves adoption by 45 percent.

The integration of seasonal forecasts with crop modeling offers promising approaches for informing agricultural decisions. Mkuhlani et al. (2022) provide a systematic review of such integrated approaches in Africa, identifying that approximately 74 percent of studies employ mechanistic models favored for climate risk management research. These models account for crop management practices and can interface effectively with global climate model outputs. However, their review also highlights the need to expand such research to additional regions, crops, farming systems, and policy contexts across the continent.

Simulation-based approaches provide insights into the mechanisms through which forecast information affects farmer welfare. **?** develop an empirically-grounded agent-based model demonstrating that farmers using seasonal forecasts achieve more diversified crop selections, driving increases in average agricultural income. Income gains prove particularly notable under drier climate scenarios when forecast-informed farmers plant crops with higher potential returns. However, their analysis also reveals that water scarcity can stratify farmer incomes, potentially compounding existing disparities in financial and technical abilities to use forecasts effectively.

The dynamics of forecast adoption extend beyond simple accuracy considerations to encompass complex social and behavioral factors. Alexander and Block (2022) employ agent-based modeling of Ethiopian agricultural communities to demonstrate that forecast accuracy correlates with increased adoption and benefit. However, this relationship is mediated by the sequence of precipitation conditions, risk preferences, heuristics for building trust, and community-level social dynamics including peer interaction and social learning. These stylized model results suggest that if seasonal forecast development aims to enhance water and food security for climate adaptation in vulnerable regions, interdisciplinary collaborations connecting local-scale forecasts with public engagement and community social dynamics are critical.

From a methodological standpoint, the literature reveals both progress and gaps in understanding climate information value. While empirical studies provide essential evidence on realized impacts and adoption patterns, theoretical frameworks offer complementary insights into underlying mechanisms and general principles. The present contribution builds on this foundation by developing analytical indicators derivable from stochastic production function specifications, providing tools for policy evaluation that complement existing empirical and simulation-based approaches.

3 Theoretical Framework

We model agricultural production under climate variability using a stochastic production function approach. Consider a representative farm producing output Y using a vector of inputs $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ under climate conditions characterized by state variable θ.

3.1 Basic Production Function

The production function takes the general form:

$$Y = f(\mathbf{x}, \theta, \epsilon) \tag{1}$$

where $f(\cdot)$ represents the transformation of inputs into output, $\theta \in \Theta$ represents the climate state, and ϵ captures additional stochastic factors independent of climate.

The climate state θ is unknown at the time of planting decisions but affects production through multiple channels. We decompose the production function as:

$$Y = g(\mathbf{x}) \cdot h(\theta) + \epsilon \tag{2}$$

where $g(\mathbf{x})$ captures the input productivity component and $h(\theta)$ represents climate-dependent productivity scaling.

3.2 Information Structure

Prior to making input decisions, the farmer receives a climate signal s that provides information about the realized state θ. We model this signal as emerging from a forecast system with accuracy $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 1. *A forecast signal s has accuracy α if:*

$$P(s = \theta|\theta) = \alpha + \frac{(1-\alpha)}{|\Theta|} \tag{3}$$

This specification implies that with probability α, the signal correctly identifies the true state, while with probability $1-\alpha$, the signal is uniformly distributed over all possible states. When $\alpha = 0$, the signal provides no information; when $\alpha = 1$, the signal perfectly reveals the true state. Empirical evidence suggests that operational forecasts can achieve substantial accuracy levels, with documented cases showing accuracy scores around 0.77 for rainfall forecasts (Dhanya et al., 2022).

3.3 Decision Problem

The farmer chooses inputs $\mathbf{x}$ to maximize expected utility, given the forecast signal s and resource constraints. With risk-neutral preferences and input costs $\mathbf{w}$, the decision problem becomes:

$$\max_{\mathbf{x}} E[p \cdot Y|s] - \mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x} \tag{4}$$

subject to resource constraint $\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x} \leq M$, where p is output price and M represents available resources.

Let $\mathbf{x}^*(s)$ denote the optimal input choice conditional on signal s. The value of information can be assessed by comparing expected profits under forecast-informed decisions versus decisions made without forecast information.

4 Mathematical Model Development

Building on the theoretical framework, we now develop specific functional forms and derive key indicators for measuring the economic value of climate forecasts and adaptive capacity.

4.1 Parametric Specification

We adopt a Cobb-Douglas specification with climate-sensitive productivity:

$$Y = A \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{\beta_i} \cdot \exp(\gamma\theta + \epsilon) \tag{5}$$

where A is total factor productivity, β_i are input elasticities with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i < 1$ representing decreasing returns to scale, γ captures climate sensitivity, and $\theta \sim N(\mu_\theta, \sigma_\theta^2)$.

This specification allows the marginal product of each input to depend on the realized climate state through the multiplicative climate term. For analytical tractability in subsequent derivations, consider a single variable input x representing aggregate input intensity with elasticity β.

4.2 Climate Information Value Index

We define the Climate Information Value Index as the proportional increase in expected profit from using forecast information relative to decisions made under climate uncertainty without forecasts.

Without forecast information, the farmer optimizes based on the unconditional distribution of θ:

$$\max_x E[p \cdot Ax^\beta \exp(\gamma\theta + \epsilon)] - wx \tag{6}$$

Given $\theta \sim N(\mu_\theta, \sigma_\theta^2)$ and $\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma_\epsilon^2)$, the expectation of the exponential climate term becomes:

$$E[\exp(\gamma\theta + \epsilon)] = \exp\left(\gamma\mu_\theta + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^2\sigma_\theta^2 + \sigma_\epsilon^2)\right) \tag{7}$$

The optimal input without forecast satisfies the first-order condition:

$$pA\beta x_0^{\beta-1} \exp\left(\gamma\mu_\theta + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^2\sigma_\theta^2 + \sigma_\epsilon^2)\right) = w \tag{8}$$

Solving for x_0 yields:

$$x_0 = \left[\frac{pA\beta}{w}\exp\left(\gamma\mu_\theta + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^2\sigma_\theta^2 + \sigma_\epsilon^2)\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} \tag{9}$$

With forecast signal s, the farmer updates beliefs about θ using Bayes' rule. Under our information structure, the posterior variance of θ decreases with forecast accuracy according to:

$$\sigma_{\theta|s}^2 = (1-\alpha^2)\sigma_\theta^2 \tag{10}$$

The forecast-informed optimal input x_1 satisfies:

$$x_1 = \left[\frac{pA\beta}{w}\exp\left(\gamma\mu_{\theta|s} + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^2\sigma_{\theta|s}^2 + \sigma_\epsilon^2)\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} \tag{11}$$

where $\mu_{\theta|s}$ is the posterior mean of θ given signal s.

Expected profits under each information regime can be expressed as:

$$\Pi_0 = pAx_0^\beta \exp\left(\gamma\mu_\theta + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^2\sigma_\theta^2 + \sigma_\epsilon^2)\right) - wx_0 \tag{12}$$

$$\Pi_1 = E_s\left[pAx_1(s)^\beta \exp\left(\gamma\mu_{\theta|s} + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^2\sigma_{\theta|s}^2 + \sigma_\epsilon^2)\right) - wx_1(s)\right] \tag{13}$$

Definition 2. *The Climate Information Value Index is defined as:*

$$CIVI = \frac{\Pi_1 - \Pi_0}{\Pi_0} \tag{14}$$

This index measures the percentage gain in expected profit from forecast utilization. The index captures how forecast characteristics interact with production system features to generate potential benefits.

Proposition 1. *Under the parametric specification above, CIVI increases with forecast accuracy α, climate sensitivity parameter γ, and climate variability σ_θ^2:*

$$\frac{\partial CIVI}{\partial \alpha} > 0, \quad \frac{\partial CIVI}{\partial \gamma} > 0, \quad \frac{\partial CIVI}{\partial \sigma_\theta^2} > 0 \tag{15}$$

The economic intuition follows directly from information theory. More accurate forecasts reduce decision uncertainty, enabling better input allocation. Higher climate sensitivity means climate information has greater impact on optimal decisions. Greater climate variability implies larger potential gains from reducing uncertainty through improved information.

4.3 Adaptive Efficiency Coefficient

Real-world farmers face constraints that limit their ability to respond optimally to forecast information. Empirical evidence demonstrates that resource availability, technical capacity, and institutional factors create substantial wedges between theoretically optimal and actually feasible responses (Mnukwa et al., 2025; Singh, 2020).

Let $\mathbf{x}^*(s)$ denote the unconstrained optimal input vector given forecast s, and let $\mathbf{x}^a(s)$ denote the actual input choice under resource and capacity constraints.

Definition 3. *The Adaptive Efficiency Coefficient for farmer type j is:*

$$AEC_j = \frac{\Pi_j^a}{\Pi_j^*} \tag{16}$$

where Π_j^a is expected profit under actual constrained adaptation and Π_j^* is expected profit under unconstrained optimal adaptation.

The AEC measures what fraction of potential forecast value a farmer can actually realize. Factors reducing AEC include binding credit constraints limiting input purchases, insufficient technical knowledge to interpret forecasts, lack of access to appropriate input varieties, and labor shortages preventing timely implementation. Empirical research documents that high initial costs reduce adoption by 65 percent among resource-poor farmers, while credit access improves adoption by 45 percent (Mnukwa et al., 2025).

We can decompose the overall value realization as:

$$\Pi_j^a = \Pi_0 \cdot (1 + CIVI \cdot AEC_j) \tag{17}$$

This decomposition clarifies that realized gains depend multiplicatively on both the intrinsic information value measured by CIVI and the adaptive capacity measured by AEC. Differences in AEC across farm types can be substantial, with resource-poor farmers and female farmers exhibiting significantly lower coefficients due to multiple interacting constraints.

4.4 Risk Reduction Indicator

Beyond expected profit impacts, forecasts affect production risk. We develop an indicator for risk reduction benefits. Production variance under no forecast is:

$$Var(Y_0) = (Ax_0^\beta)^2 \left[\exp(\gamma^2\sigma_\theta^2 + \sigma_\epsilon^2) - 1\right] \exp(2\gamma\mu_\theta + \gamma^2\sigma_\theta^2 + \sigma_\epsilon^2) \tag{18}$$

With forecasts, expected variance becomes:

$$Var(Y_1) = E_s \left[(Ax_1(s)^\beta)^2 \left[\exp(\gamma^2 \sigma^2_{\theta|s} + \sigma^2_\epsilon) - 1 \right] \exp(2\gamma\mu_{\theta|s} + \gamma^2 \sigma^2_{\theta|s} + \sigma^2_\epsilon) \right] \tag{19}$$

The Risk Reduction Index is defined as:

$$RRI = \frac{Var(Y_0) - Var(Y_1)}{Var(Y_0)} \tag{20}$$

For risk-averse farmers, this risk reduction provides additional value beyond expected profit gains. Under standard mean-variance preferences with risk aversion coefficient λ, the total forecast value becomes:

$$TV = \Delta\Pi - \lambda \cdot \Delta Var(Y) \tag{21}$$

This formulation recognizes that farmers may value forecasts both for profit enhancement and risk mitigation, with the relative importance depending on individual risk preferences and baseline exposure to climate variability.

5 Economic Implications

The mathematical framework developed above yields several important economic insights regarding the distribution of forecast benefits, optimal policy design, and long-term dynamics of agricultural systems under climate variability.

5.1 Heterogeneous Value Realization

The multiplicative relationship between CIVI and AEC in equation 17 has profound distributional implications. Consider two farmer types characterized by different resource endowments: Type H with high adaptive capacity exhibiting $AEC_H = 0.9$ and Type L with low adaptive capacity exhibiting $AEC_L = 0.4$. Even if both farmer types face identical climate conditions and thus identical CIVI, Type H realizes more than twice the benefit of Type L in absolute terms.

This theoretical prediction aligns closely with empirical evidence. Systematic reviews document that better-resourced farmers exhibit significantly higher adoption rates and realized benefits from climate information services (Mnukwa et al., 2025). Extension services, secure land tenure, and credit access all substantially affect adaptive capacity. Gender disparities prove particularly stark, with female farmers showing adoption rates 15-30 percentage points lower than male farmers across multiple contexts.

The model predicts that without interventions to equalize AEC across farmer types, the introduction of climate information services may actually increase inequality within agricultural populations. High-resource farmers capture larger absolute gains from forecasts, potentially enabling further investment in productive assets and technical capacity, thereby widening the productivity gap over time. This prediction finds empirical support in simulation studies showing potential income stratification under water scarcity scenarios when adaptive capacity differs across farmers (**?**).

5.2 Complementarity Between Information and Resources

The framework reveals strong complementarities between climate information and productive resources. The CIVI increases with the scale of operations and baseline productivity level. A farmer with greater baseline total factor productivity A achieves higher absolute profit gains from the same forecast accuracy, creating scale economies in information utilization.

Moreover, the ability to adjust inputs in response to forecasts requires resource flexibility. The optimal response to a favorable climate forecast involves increasing input application, which requires available credit or savings. Field evidence from India demonstrates that insurance and credit serve as key determinants motivating adaptation to climate variability (Singh, 2020). Conversely, the optimal response to an unfavorable forecast may involve switching to alternative crops or adjusting planting dates, which requires access to diverse seed varieties and knowledge of alternative production systems.

These complementarities suggest that climate information services should be bundled with interventions that relax resource constraints. The model formalizes this insight: forecast value is maximized when AEC approaches unity, which typically requires addressing multiple constraints simultaneously. Empirical evidence supports this conclusion, showing that credit access improves adaptation adoption by 45 percent (Mnukwa et al., 2025).

5.3 Threshold Effects and Forecast Accuracy

The value of forecasts exhibits threshold behavior in both accuracy and farmer capacity dimensions. For very low forecast accuracy approaching zero, CIVI becomes negligible regardless of AEC. Similarly, for very low adaptive capacity approaching zero, realized value remains minimal regardless of forecast accuracy.

This theoretical result suggests that policy interventions face a minimum effective scale. Providing low-accuracy forecasts to capacity-constrained farmers yields minimal benefits. Effective programs must simultaneously achieve adequate forecast skill and ensure farmers possess necessary adaptive capacity. Documentation of forecast accuracy achieving HI scores of 0.77 for rainfall suggests that operational systems can reach meaningful accuracy thresholds (Dhanya et al., 2022).

Agent-based modeling studies demonstrate that forecast adoption exhibits tipping point dynamics, with adoption accelerating once accuracy crosses critical thresholds and social learning mechanisms engage (Alexander and Block, 2022). Our analytical framework provides theoretical foundation for these observed nonlinearities, showing that value increases nonlinearly with both accuracy and capacity parameters.

5.4 Welfare Analysis Under Risk Aversion

For risk-averse farmers, the total value of forecasts includes both profit enhancement and risk reduction components as captured in equation 21. The relative importance of these components depends on the degree of risk aversion and baseline risk exposure.

In high-variability environments characterized by large σ_θ^2, the risk reduction component becomes particularly salient. This has important implications for welfare measurement. Studies focusing solely on average income effects may substantially underestimate forecast value for risk-averse populations, particularly in regions facing high climate variability.

The risk reduction benefit also exhibits distributional asymmetry. Farmers facing greater baseline risk exposure, often resource-poor farmers in marginal environments, potentially gain more from risk reduction in relative terms, even if their ability to enhance expected profits is limited by low AEC. However, realizing risk reduction benefits still requires some adaptive capacity to adjust production plans in response to forecast information.

5.5 Dynamic Considerations and Social Learning

While our static framework illuminates fundamental mechanisms, several dynamic considerations merit attention. Repeated forecast use enables learning, potentially increasing effective accuracy α as farmers develop interpretation skills and experience. This suggests value realization may increase over time through learning-by-doing processes.

Empirical evidence from agent-based modeling emphasizes that community-level social dynamics significantly impact adoption patterns (Alexander and Block, 2022).

Peer interaction, observation of neighbors' experiences with forecasts, and social learning all influence individual adoption decisions. These mechanisms can create positive feedback loops where successful early adopters facilitate broader diffusion, or negative feedback if initial experiences prove disappointing.

Moreover, repeated success or failure of forecasts affects trust and subsequent adoption. A sequence of inaccurate forecasts can permanently damage credibility, creating hysteresis in adoption even if accuracy subsequently improves. This highlights the importance of managing expectations and communicating forecast uncertainty appropriately.

5.6 Policy Implications

The theoretical framework suggests several policy priorities for maximizing welfare benefits of climate information services. First, forecast accuracy alone proves insufficient. Programs must simultaneously invest in complementary resources that raise AEC, including extension services, credit access, input availability, and infrastructure. Evidence shows extension services increase adoption probability by a factor of 2.8, while secure land tenure improves long-term investment by 60 percent (Mnukwa et al., 2025).

Second, targeting matters for program design. The model predicts that marginal welfare gains are highest for farmers with moderate initial AEC. Farmers with very low AEC cannot effectively use forecasts without substantial additional support. Farmers with very high AEC already possess most necessary capacities. Programs should prioritize farmers in intermediate ranges while implementing longer-term interventions to raise the floor of adaptive capacity across all farmer types.

Third, forecast characteristics should match user capacity. Highly complex probabilistic forecasts may have high intrinsic accuracy but low effective accuracy for users lacking statistical literacy. Experience with agrometeorological services demonstrates that forecast usability and reliability significantly affect realized value (Dhanya et al., 2022).

Fourth, social learning mechanisms can amplify benefits. While the framework models individual decision-making, empirical evidence demonstrates that community-level dynamics significantly affect adoption. Programs should facilitate peer learning and demonstration effects to leverage social multiplier effects in forecast diffusion.

6 Discussion

The stochastic production function framework developed here provides several contributions to understanding climate information services in agriculture. By explicitly modeling forecast accuracy, adaptive capacity, and their interaction, we derive operational indicators for policy evaluation and illuminate distributional consequences often obscured in aggregate analyses.

The Climate Information Value Index offers a standardized metric for comparing forecast value across contexts. It captures how intrinsic forecast characteristics interact with production system features to generate potential benefits. The Adaptive Efficiency Coefficient complements CIVI by measuring the fraction of potential benefits that can be realized given actual constraints faced by different farmer types.

This decomposition has important implications for impact evaluation. Many existing studies measure realized impacts, which conflate intrinsic forecast value with adaptive capacity. Our framework suggests that low measured impacts may reflect either low CIVI arising from forecast quality problems or low AEC arising from capacity constraints, with very different policy implications. Distinguishing these channels requires deliberate research design incorporating variation in both forecast characteristics and farmer capacities.

Several limitations of the current framework merit acknowledgment. First, we adopt a single-period static model, abstracting from learning dynamics and intertemporal optimization. Multi-period extensions could examine how forecast experience affects both perceived accuracy and adaptive capacity over time, as well as how forward-looking farmers might invest in capacity development anticipating future forecast availability.

Second, we assume perfect competition and price-taking behavior. In reality, widespread forecast adoption could generate aggregate supply responses affecting prices. General equilibrium extensions would be valuable for assessing economy-wide impacts when adoption reaches scale.

Third, our parametric specifications, while tractable, impose restrictions that may not hold empirically. The Cobb-Douglas production function assumes constant elasticity of substitution; climate effects may exhibit threshold nonlinearities not captured by the exponential specification. Robustness analysis with alternative functional forms would strengthen conclusions.

Fourth, we abstract from strategic interactions among farmers and between farmers and input suppliers. Game-theoretic extensions could examine how forecast information affects bargaining power in input markets or coordination in shared resource systems.

Despite these limitations, the framework offers foundation for several productive research directions. Empirical implementation using household survey data could estimate CIVI and AEC parameters and test comparative statics predictions. Structural estimation approaches could identify deep parameters governing climate sensitivity and adaptive capacity across different agroecological zones.

Extensions incorporating multiple crops and inter-temporal substitution would better capture realistic decision environments, particularly for diversified farming systems. Spatial dimensions could be integrated to analyze how forecast resolution affects value and how spatial correlation in climate shocks influences risk reduction benefits.

The framework could be extended to analyze optimal public investment in forecast infrastructure. If government chooses forecast accuracy α at cost $C(\alpha)$, social welfare maximization would trade off accuracy improvements against costs, accounting for heterogeneous value realization across farm populations and potential inequality implications.

Integration with mechanistic crop models following approaches reviewed by Mkuhlani et al. (2022) could provide more detailed representation of how climate affects production through specific physiological pathways, potentially improving prediction of CIVI for specific crop-environment combinations.

From a methodological perspective, our analytical approach complements existing techniques for climate impact assessment. While empirical approaches provide essential evidence on realized impacts and agent-based models explore complex scenarios with social learning, analytical frameworks offer insights into underlying mechanisms and general principles. Triangulation across methods strengthens overall understanding.

7 Conclusion

This paper develops a theoretical framework for analyzing the economic value of seasonal climate forecasts in agricultural production. By modeling production under climate variability using stochastic production functions, we derive operational indicators for measuring forecast benefits and identifying factors governing value realization.

The Climate Information Value Index quantifies the proportional profit gain from forecast utilization, demonstrating that value increases with forecast accuracy, climate sensitivity, and climate variability. The Adaptive Efficiency Coefficient measures the fraction of potential benefits farmers can realize given resource and capacity constraints. The multiplicative relationship between these indicators reveals that forecast value depends critically on enabling conditions beyond forecast quality itself.

Our analysis demonstrates that climate information services can generate substantial economic benefits, but these benefits are heterogeneously distributed across farm populations. Resource-constrained farmers face systematic barriers to forecast adoption and utilization, potentially leading to increased inequality if complementary interventions are not implemented. Effective climate information programs require bundled approaches addressing forecast quality, adaptive capacity, and resource availability simultaneously.

The framework suggests several priorities for policy design. First, investments in forecast accuracy should be complemented by investments in farmer capacity, including extension services, credit access, and input availability. Empirical evidence shows that extension services increase adoption probability by a factor of 2.8, while credit access improves adoption by 45 percent. Second, targeting strategies should focus on farmers with intermediate adaptive capacity, where marginal welfare gains are highest. Third, forecast design should balance technical accuracy with user interpretability and relevance to actual farming decisions.

Several avenues for further research emerge from this work. Empirical estimation of CIVI and AEC parameters across diverse contexts would test the framework's predictions and enable quantitative welfare analysis. Dynamic extensions incorporating learning and climate non-stationarity would better capture long-term adaptation processes. Integration with mechanistic crop models would strengthen understanding of climate-production relationships through specific physiological pathways.

More broadly, this research contributes to understanding how information provision can support climate adaptation in agriculture. As climate variability intensifies and forecast technologies continue improving, the questions addressed here will only grow in salience. Rigorous theoretical frameworks that clarify mechanisms and identify key parameters are essential for designing interventions that maximize welfare gains while ensuring equitable distribution of benefits.

The global challenge of feeding growing populations under climate change requires mobilizing all available tools, including advanced information systems. However, technology alone cannot ensure equitable adaptation. The framework developed here emphasizes that realizing the potential of climate information services requires addressing the structural factors that constrain adaptive capacity, particularly for the most vulnerable farming populations. Only through such comprehensive approaches can climate information services fulfill their promise as instruments of both productivity enhancement and social equity in agricultural development.

References

Alexander, S. and Block, P. (2022). Integration of seasonal precipitation forecast information into local-level agricultural decision-making using an agent-based model to support community adaptation. *Climate Risk Management*, 36:100417.

Dhanya, P. et al. (2022). Impacts and climate change adaptation of agrometeorological services among the maize farmers of west tamil nadu. *AgriEngineering*, 4(4):1030–1053.

Ho, K. T. M., Chen, K.-C., Lee, L., Burt, F., Yu, S., and Lee, P.-H. (2024). Quantum computing for climate resilience and sustainability challenges. In *2024 IEEE International Conference on Quantum Computing and Engineering (QCE)*, pages 262–267. IEEE.

Jain, Y. K., Likhitkar, B., Verma, P., Mishra, P. K., Rathore, D. S., and Bhokare, M. S. (2025). Leveraging quantum computing for multi-criteria decision analysis in strategic business planning. In *2025 International Conference on Automation and Computation (AUTOCOM)*, pages 1492–1496. IEEE.

Madhuri (2025). Growing smarter: a systematic review of the impact and challenges of climate information on farming. *Journal of Water and Climate Change*, 16(3):934–945.

Mkuhlani, S. et al. (2022). Lessons from integrated seasonal forecast-crop modelling in africa: A systematic review. *Open Life Sciences*, 17(1):1398–1417.

Mnukwa, M. L. et al. (2025). Assessing the adoption and impact of climate-smart agricultural practices on smallholder maize farmers' livelihoods in sub-saharan africa: a systematic review. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 9.

Singh, S. (2020). Farmers' perception of climate change and adaptation decisions: A micro-level evidence from bundelkhand region, india. *Ecological Indicators*, 116:106475.

Taiwo, I., Ogunbajo, A., and Abidola, A. Q. (2025). Quantum computing-enhanced ai systems for advanced business intelligence applications. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, 14(1):1839–1847.